



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,702	12/04/2003	Delmar Eugene Blevins	SVL920030072US1	9001
45727	7590	07/13/2009	EXAMINER	
IP AUTHORITY, LLC			ADAMS, CHARLES D	
RAMRAJ SOUNDARARAJAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4821A Eisenhower Ave			2164	
Alexandria, VA 22304				
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		07/13/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

RAM@IP-AUTHORITY.COM
brandi@ip-authority.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/726,702	BLEVINS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHARLES D. ADAMS	2164	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles D. Adams. (3) _____.

(2) Ramraj Soundararajan (Reg. No. 53,832). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,6,8-13,16,18-20,24 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Benson, Cotner.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative proposed amendments to overcome the art of record. Examiner and Applicant's Representative discussed the amendments, with the Examiner clarifying his rejection of the claims. Examiner and Applicant's Representative then discussed additional amendments to the art to overcome the art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Charles D Adams/
Examiner, Art Unit 2164